

ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Anne Mosbergen
<ADDRESS1>5604 Fallsgrove Street
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Los Angeles
<STATE>CA
<ZIP>90016
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>323-299-5=3094
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>annem@netwood.net
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Anne Mosbergen
<ADDRESS1>5604 Fallsgrove Street
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Los Angeles
<STATE>CA
<ZIP>90016
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>323-299-5=3094
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>annem@netwood.net
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Anne Mosbergen
<ADDRESS1>5604 Fallsgrove Street
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Los Angeles
<STATE>
<ZIP>90016
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>323-299-5=3094
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>annem@netwood.net
<TEXT>I am on a fixed income -- every penny is budgeted. I cannot afford and do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to

move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.
Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Anne Mosbergen
5604 Fallsgrove Street
Los Angeles, California 90016-5027